Amendments to the Drawings:

Please replace the original drawing sheets submitted with this Application with the replacement drawing sheets attached as Appendix C.

REMARKS

Remarks regarding Amendments to the Specification

The Examiner in the '908 office action objected to the disclosure because of the several

informalities which resulted in the bulk of the amendments shown in the marked up version of

the replacement specification.

Review of the application and preparation of the replacement specification showed a need

to amend the abstract to be consistent with the other amendments being made.

A hard disk mounting hub has a disk-mounting face formed at one end as a

truncated conical surface of revolution symmetric about the hub a central axis. A

cylindrical inner hub member is coaxial with the hub body outside diameter and

the surrounding mounting face. The inner hub member is adapted to receive a

planar disk with a central mounting hole with disk inside diameter fitted around it

opening. The mounting face is disposed at a hub conning face angle $(\pi/2 + /- \Omega)$

relative to the hub central axis. Hub conning face angle Ω is selected so that a

disk mounting clamping force F applied to an inner disk portion surrounding the

central mounting opening bends a portion of the disk interior to the hub inside

diameter to conform contiguous facing relationship with the conical disk-

mounting face. This interior bending portion reduces or eliminates the tendency

of the outer disk portion to form an excessive conning angle $\theta \Phi$.

Objections to the Drawings in this application and in the '908 office action

The Examiner in this application has objected to the Drawings, requiring that the clamp

member structures recited in Claims 8, 9, 10, 17 and 18 must be shown or the feature(s)

Response to Office Action Mailed November 9, 2005 Serial Number 10/657 351

Serial Number 10/657,351 Docket No. 139-035U Page 9 of 18

cancelled from the Claims. All the Figures have been amended as shown in the attached

replacement sheets. Claims 8, 10, 17, and 18 have been amended as follows:

8. (Currently amended) The disk mounting hub of claim 3,

wherein said disk attaches to said disk mounting hub by applying in which

said clamping force F is applied through a clamp adapter to say said opposite disk

face of said disk by a clamping member attached to said hub-mounting member.

9. (Currently amended) The disk mounting hub of claim 8, in which said

hub-mounting clamp member includes an axial-acting spring member between a

disk contact end in contact with said opposite disk surface and a rigid base end

mounted to said hub mounting member at its extreme proximal end wherein said

clamp adapter member is arranged to exert said clamping force F on said disk

surface toward said disk mounting hub.

17. (Currently amended) The hard disk drive of claim 10, <u>further including:</u>

said disk attached to said disk mounting hub by applying in which said

clamping force F through a clamp adapter is applied to said opposite disk face of

said disk by a clamping member attached to said hub-mounting member.

18. (Currently Amended) The hard disk drive of claim 1117, in—which

wherein said hub-mounting clamp adapter-member includes an axial-acting spring

member between a disk contact end in contact with said opposite disk surface and

a rigid base end mounted to said hub mounting member at its extreme proximal

end wherein said clamp member is arranged to exert said clamping force F on said

disk surface opposite face toward said disk mounting hub.

The Applicant submits that these amendments address the Examiner's objection, and

respectfully requests that this objection to the Figures and above stated Claims be removed.

Claim Rejections based upon 35 USC 112 2nd Paragraph

Claims 1 to 5, 7 to 14, and 16 to 18 stand rejected as being indefinite.

The Examiner has pointed out in Claims 1 and 10, "truncated conical surface" is

indefinite and there is no clear reference stated for the "oblique hub conning angle". These

Claims have been amended as follows:

1. (Currently amended) A hard disk drive mounting hub for mounting a disk

having opposite parallel faces between a disk outside diameter and a coaxial disk

inside diameter defining a central opening therethrough, wherein said disk

mounting hub comprises:

a cylindrical hub body defining a hub outside diameter disposed along a

central axis:

a cylindrical disk mounting member disposed coaxial with said central

axis at one end of said body, defining the mounting member inside diameter

extending proximally from said one end of said body, and sized to be received

through said disk opening, and;

a coaxial hub face extending about said mounting member, said coaxial

hub face defining a truncated conical surface of revolution symmetrical about said

central axis, that is disposed at an oblique hub conning face angle Ω relative to

said central axis.

10. (Currently amended) A hard disk drive having a disk clamp for fixing at

least one disk mounted on a drive spindle by application of distributed axial

compressive force F-directed against one side of said at least one disk on said

spindle, said axial force F distributed circumferentially and radially around said

Page 11 of 18

disk spindle, including: said a disk mounting hub of Claim 1. comprising:

Response to Office Action Mailed November 9, 2005 Serial Number 10/657,351

a rigid cylindrical hub body defining a hub outside diameter disposed

along a central axis;

a cylindrical disk mounting member disposed coaxial with said central

axis at one end of said body, defining a coaxial member-inside diameter extending

proximally from said one end of said body, said member inside diameter sized to

be-received through-said disk opening;

a coaxial-hub face extending about said mounting member, said hub face

defining a truncated conical surface of revolution symmetrical about said axis,

that is disposed at an oblique hub conning angle Ω-relative to said-axis.

The Applicant submits that the specific issues raised by the Examiner for rejecting these

Claims have been resolved.

The Examiner has pointed out in Claims 4 and 13, the following are indefinite:

"essentially conical contiguity" (unclear) and "within an acute disk conning angle limit" (no

definition or range). These Claims have been amended as follows:

4. (Currently amended) The hard disk drive mounting hub of claim 3,

wherein: said interior central portion of said disk bends toward essentially conical

contiguity with said truncated conical hub-face surface of said coaxial hub face at

said oblique hub conning face angle Ω and away from parallel to the remaining

exterior portion of said disk, while said remaining exterior portion of said disk

remains disposed within an acute disk conning angle limit Φ_{min} relative to a

perpendicular to said central axis.

13. (Currently amended) The hard disk drive of claim 12, wherein: said

interior central portion of said disk bends toward essentially conical contiguity

with said truncated conical surface of said coaxial hub face surface at said oblique

hub conning face angle Ω and away from parallel planarity with the remaining

exterior portion of said disk, while said remaining exterior portion of said disk

Response to Office Action Mailed November 9, 2005 Serial Number 10/657,351 remains disposed within an acute disk conning angle limit Φ_{min} relative to a

perpendicular to said central axis.

The Applicant submits that the specific issues raised by the Examiner for rejecting these

Claims have been resolved.

Claims 1 to 5, 7 to 14, and 16 to 18 stand rejected as being indefinite in a general

statement by the Examiner. These Claims have been amended as follows:

1. (Currently amended) A hard disk drive mounting hub for mounting a disk

having opposite parallel faces between a disk outside diameter and a coaxial disk

inside diameter defining a central opening therethrough, wherein said disk

mounting hub comprises:

a cylindrical hub body defining a hub outside diameter disposed along a

central axis;

a cylindrical disk mounting member disposed coaxial with said central

axis at one end of said body, defining the mounting member inside diameter

extending proximally from said one end of said body, and sized to be received

through said disk opening, and;

a coaxial hub face extending about said mounting member, said coaxial

hub face defining a truncated conical surface of revolution symmetrical about said

central axis, that is disposed at an oblique hub conning face angle Ω relative to

said central axis.

2. (Currently amended) The hard disk mounting hub of claim 1, wherein:

said disk mounting hub receives said disk mounted perpendicular to said hub

central axis and fitted with said disk inside diameter around said mounting

member inside diameter with one disk face proximal and adjacent to said coaxial

Page 13 of 18

hub face.

Response to Office Action Mailed November 9, 2005

3. (Currently amended) The hard disk mounting hub of claim 2, wherein: a suitable clamping force F is applied toward said coaxial hub face from said opposite disk face over an interior central portion of said opposite disk face.

4. (Currently amended) The hard disk <u>drive</u> mounting hub of claim 3, wherein: said interior central portion of said disk bends toward <u>essentially conical</u> contiguity with said truncated conical <u>hub face</u> surface of said coaxial hub face at said oblique hub conning <u>face</u> angle Ω and away from parallel to the remaining exterior portion of said disk, while said remaining exterior portion of said disk remains disposed within an acute disk conning angle limit Φ_{\min} relative to a perpendicular to said central axis.

5. (Currently amended) The <u>hard disk drive</u> mounting hub of claim 1, wherein said oblique <u>hub face</u> angle $\underline{\Omega}$ is selected to form a hub face having a concave conical surface contour.

7. (Currently amended) The disk mounting hub of claim 1, in which said disk mounting hub comprises at least one hub material materials selected from the group consisting of aluminum and steel.

8. (Currently amended) The disk mounting hub of claim 3,

wherein said disk attaches to said disk mounting hub by applying in which said clamping force F is applied through a clamp adapter to say said opposite disk face of said disk by a clamping member attached to said hub mounting member.

9. (Currently amended) The disk mounting hub of claim 8, in which said hub mounting clamp member includes an axial acting spring member between a disk contact end in contact with said opposite disk surface and a rigid base end mounted to said hub mounting member at its extreme proximal end wherein said

clamp <u>adapter member</u> is arranged to exert said <u>clamping</u> force F on said disk

surface toward said disk mounting hub.

10. (Currently amended) A hard disk drive having a disk clamp-for-fixing at

least one disk mounted on a drive spindle by application of distributed axial

compressive-force-F directed against one-side of said at least one disk on said

spindle, said axial force F distributed circumferentially and radially around said

disk spindle, including: said a disk mounting hub of Claim 1. comprising:

a rigid cylindrical-hub-body defining a hub-outside diameter disposed

along a central axis;

a-cylindrical disk mounting-member disposed-coaxial with-said-central

axis at one end of said body, defining a coaxial member inside diameter extending

proximally from said one end of said body, said member inside diameter sized to

be received through said disk opening;

a coaxial hub face extending about said mounting member, said hub face

defining a truncated conical surface of revolution symmetrical about said axis,

that is disposed at an oblique hub conning angle Q relative to said axis.

11. (Currently amended) The hard disk drive of claim 10, wherein:

said disk mounting hub receives said at least one mounted disk

perpendicular to said hub central axis and fitted with disk-inside-diameter said

disk opening around said cylindrical disk mounting member inside diameter with

one disk face of said faces proximal and adjacent to said coaxial hub face.

12. (Currently amended) The hard disk drive of claim 11, wherein: a

distributed clamping force F is applied and distributed toward said coaxial hub

face from said an opposite of said disk face over an interior central portion of said

opposite disk face.

Response to Office Action Mailed November 9, 2005 Serial Number 10/657,351 13. (Currently amended) The hard disk drive of claim 12, wherein: said

interior central portion of said disk bends toward essentially conical contiguity

with said truncated conical surface of said coaxial hub face surface at said oblique

hub conning face angle Ω and away from parallel planarity with the remaining

exterior portion of said disk, while said remaining exterior portion of said disk

remains disposed within an acute disk conning angle limit Φ_{\min} relative to a

perpendicular to said central axis.

14. (Currently amended) The hard disk drive of claim 10, wherein said

oblique hub face angle Ω is selected to form a said coaxial hub face having a

concave conical surface contour.

16. (Currently amended) The hard disk drive of claim 10, in which said disk

mounting hub comprises materials at least one hub material selected from the

group consisting of aluminum and steel.

17. (Currently amended) The hard disk drive of claim 10, <u>further including</u>:

said disk attached to said disk mounting hub by applying in which said

clamping force F through a clamp adapter is applied to said opposite disk face of

said disk by a clamping member attached to said hub-mounting member.

18. (Currently Amended) The hard disk drive of claim 1117, in which

wherein said hub-mounting clamp adapter-member includes an axial-acting spring

member between a disk contact end in contact with said opposite disk surface and

a rigid base end mounted to said hub mounting member at its extreme proximal

end wherein said clamp member is arranged to exert said clamping force F on said

disk surface opposite face toward said disk mounting hub.

The Applicant submits that these Claims as amended address the issue of indefiniteness in

general, and requests that the Examiner remove this rejection to these Claims.

Claim Rejections based upon 35 USC 102(e)

Claims 1 to 5, 8 to 14, 17, and 18 stand rejected as anticipated by Koyanagi et al (US

Patent 6,785,090). As amended, all the pending Claims are dependent upon Claim 1. The

Applicant disagrees with the rejection of this Claim.

1. (Currently amended) A hard disk drive mounting hub for mounting a disk having

opposite parallel faces between a disk outside diameter and a coaxial disk inside diameter

defining a central opening therethrough, wherein said disk mounting hub comprises:

a cylindrical hub body defining a hub outside diameter disposed along a central axis;

a cylindrical disk mounting member disposed coaxial with said central axis at one end of

said body, defining the mounting member inside diameter extending proximally from said one

end of said body, and sized to be received through said disk opening, and;

a coaxial hub face extending about said mounting member, said coaxial hub face defining

a truncated conical surface of revolution symmetrical about said central axis, that is disposed at

an oblique hub conning face angle Ω relative to said central axis.

The Applicant submits that Koyanagi discloses a hub face with regards reference number

41b in Figure 4, and shown without reference number in Figures 2, 5, 7, 9, 10 and with regards

reference number 23b in Figures 8 and 11, consistently lacking the hub face "defining a conical

surface of revolution symmetrical about said central axis, that is disposed at an oblique face

angle Ω relative to said central axis". Koyanagi discloses and teaches a hub face which cannot

define a conical surface of revolution. Consequently, the Applicant submits that Koyanagi's hub

face does not have this element of the invention, and cannot be seen to anticipate this invention.

This Claim is allowable, and the Examiner is requested to remove the rejection of this Claim.

The remaining, pending Claims are dependent upon Claim 1, and inherit its elements. The

Applicant submits that Koyanagi discloses a hub face consistently lacking the hub face "defining

a conical surface of revolution symmetrical about said central axis, that is disposed at an oblique

Response to Office Action Mailed November 9, 2005

Docket No. 139-035U

Page 17 of 18

face angle Ω relative to said central axis". Koyanagi discloses and teaches a hub face which

cannot define a conical surface of revolution. Consequently, the Applicant submits that

Koyanagi's hub face does not have this element of the invention, and cannot be seen to anticipate

this invention. This Claim is allowable, and the Examiner is requested to remove the rejection of

this Claim.

Other Matters

A number of other amendments to the specifications have been made to correct

typographical errors in the specification. Any amendments not specifically discussed above in

response to the issues raised by the Examiner is the Office Action mailed November 19, 2005

were made to correct these typographical errors.

CONCLUSION

All of the amendments to the specification, Claims and Figures of this application have

been made for the purpose of clarifying the invention and do not represent the introduction of

new matter.

The Applicant submits that the claims all define novel subject matter that is nonobvious.

Therefore, allowance of these claims is submitted to be proper and is respectfully requested.

Applicant invites the Examiner to contact Applicant's representative as listed below for a

telephonic interview if so doing would expedite the prosecution of the application.

Very respectfully submitted,

Gregory Scott Smith

GSS Law Group

3900 Newpark Mall Rd

Third Floor, Suite 317

Newark, CA 94560

Reg. No. 38,309

Phone (510) 742-7417

Fax (510) 742-7419

Page 18 of 18

Response to Office Action Mailed November 9, 2005